

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/042,740	10/042,740 01/16/2002		George Krikorian	50064	3485	
7:	590	02/24/2004		EXAM	INER	
Nathan Boatner PMB 692				AMIRI,	AMIRI, NAHID	
7095 Hollywoo	d Blvd.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Los Angeles, (		3	3635			

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
, , , , ,	10/042,740	KRIKORIAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nahid Amiri	3635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondenc address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  5 from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 D</u>	ecember 2003.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-15</u> is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc		the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached O	office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been red u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413)					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/042,740

Art Unit: 3635

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the **mezzanine for lower seating** must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,164,018 Runge et al., in view of US Patent No. .6,407,798 B2 Graves et al.,

In regard to claim 11: Runge discloses the claimed invention Fig. 1, column 2, line 44-67, a plurality of multiplex theater 10 having six theaters 12,14,16, 18, 20, 22 and 14, each floor having a separate exit and entrance 12a and 12g, except having upper and lower seating level and middle level for motion picture projector, having separate mezzanine for upper and lower seating. Graves teaches Fig. 1, column 2, line 38-40, a theater 10 including lower seating level A (see attachment) and upper seating level B (see attachment) and a middle level C (see attachment) for use of a motion picture projection camera, having separate mezzanine and for

Art Unit: 3635

lower and upper seating. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify each theater of Runge's invention to have three levels with upper and lower seating and mezzanine and middle level for projection in order to construct two distinct seating levels which separated from projector level.

In regard to claim 12: Runge discloses the claimed invention except having a plurality of theater having at least one regular motion picture theater and at least one for large format motion picture theater. Graves teaches Fig.1, column 2, line 41-44, the theater 10 capable of performing as a regular or large motion picture projection theater by having different type of screens 14 and 16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to provide each theater of Rung's invention with first or second screen of the Grave's invention in order to show different type of motion picture.

In regard to claim 13: Runge discloses the claimed except having each segregated level having seating for disabled other than front of the theater. Graves teaches Fig. 1, each segregated seating level A and B has seating D (see attachment) and D' (see attachment) for disabled patrons at areas other than only at the very front of the theater 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to provide each level with disabled seating area other than front of theater in order to have better viewing position of the screen for disabled person.

In regard to claim 14: Runge discloses the claimed except having multiple sound speaker placed throughout the theater. Graves teaches the claimed invention Fig.1, column 4, line 13-15, a theater 10 having a multiple sound speakers 32a-g placed throughout the theater 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to provide the multiple sound speakers throughout the theater in order to supplement the sound system for the wide screen.

Art Unit: 3635

In regard to claim 15: Runge discloses the claimed invention except having a plurality of theater having at least one has a screen for viewing regular motion picture and at least one has screen for viewing large format motion picture. Graves teaches Fig.1, column 2, line 41-44, the theater 10 capable of performing as a regular or large motion picture projection theater by having different type of screens 14 and 16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to provide each theater of Rung's invention with first or second screen of the Grave's invention in order to show regular or large format type of motion picture.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nahid Amiri whose telephone number is (703) 305-4241 and Fax number is 703-872-9306. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00-5:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Carl Friedman can be reached at (703) 308-0839.

na N

February 13,2004

Carl D. Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600